

Assessment Regulations for Students studying for Pearson Higher National Awards at South Essex College 2023-24

Adam Fahey, Vice Principal (Curriculum & Quality)

September 2022

SLT Member Responsible	Adam Fahey
Author of Policy/Procedure	Matthew Twitchett
Original date of Policy	August 2019
Date approved by (QEB)	15 th Sept 2022
Next Review Date	August 2024

South Essex College Group

Significant changes following review

7th July 2023

Procedure for Academic Appeal Pearson Higher Nationals

Page Number or Heading Name	Details of significant change	Changes made by
Throughout document	Change to staff titles	Matthew Twitchett
4/5	Information regarding formative and summative assessment has been added.	Matthew Twitchett
Throughout document	Change to staff titles	Sharon Dunphy
5/6	QCF criteria removed	Sharon Dunphy
3 and 4	Equality Impact Assessment Added	Sharon Dunphy

Equality Impact Assessment

The aim of an equality impact assessment (EIA) is to consider the equality implications of any new or amended policy, procedure, practice, project, function on different groups of staff, students and visitors to the college. This EIA tool provides a simple framework that helps evaluate whether the change may inadvertently disadvantage protected characteristics and identify ways to proactively advance and promote equality.

You will need to consider each of the equations and provide information as to consideration and any changes made in relation to Equality, diversity and inclusion.

Date EIA completed.	11 th August 2023
Name of person responsible for	Sharon Dunphy
completing the EIA	
Role of person responsible for	Head of Quality and Compliance
completing the EIA	
Who is affected by the policy /	Students and Staff
decision / change	
What's the reason for the new	This is a yearly review and update required by awarding organisations
policy / change in policy, practice,	
process etc.	

You will need to consider whether its possible that the proposed new policy / change in policy /procedure/practice etc could discriminate or unfairly discriminate or disadvantage people. You should consider:

Who gets to participate	Who does not, or who now does not due to the changes proposed / made.	
Who is at an advantage	Who is at a disadvantage, and again has this changed?	
Who will benefit	Who will not benefit (or who did and now doesn't due to the changes proposed in policy	
Who can access the policy	Who cannot, or now cannot.	

Protected	What degree of	What is a potential positive, neutral or negative	What action will be taken to address any	Date by when and
Characteristic	impact does the	impact? Include what you have considered. Are	negative impact or remove any potential	whom will this
	change have (score 1-	there any barriers?	barriers identified? Note if we are not able to	action be taken.
	5 with one being		resolve anything identified.	
	lowest impact and 5			
	being the highest)			
Age	1	None identified		
Disability	2	Some students may need support in understanding	Students with an identified disability can seek	As and when
		the academic regulations. This will be provided by	support from their personal tutor, programme	required
		the academic and student support teams in the	or student support team	
		College.		
Gender (Sex)	1	None identified		
Gender	1	None identified		
Reassignment				
Marriage or Civil	1	None identified		
Partnership				
Pregnancy /	1	None identified		
Maternity				
Race	1	None identified		
Religion or	1	None identified		
Religious Belief				
Sexual	1	None identified		
Orientation				
Any other	1	None identified		
element that				
needs to be				
considered.				

Note:

1. The terms stated under protected characteristics are the terminology used in the Equality Act 2010. As part of the policy approval process Executive Board will consider the EIA assessment and any potential barriers which we may not be able to overcome and whether the action proposed will mitigate sufficiently within legislation or whether we decide to not implement the new policy if the risk are significant. If the policy is approved the EIA is also approved

1. Policy Statement

The College is committed to ensuring that standards of assessment are explicit valid and reliable. That assessment is conducted with rigour, probity and fairness, meets the requirements of the awarding organisation and promotes quality and equality.

2. Scope

These regulations apply to all Pearson BTEC Higher Nationals offered by South Essex College Group. The regulations are based on BTEC Centre Guide to Quality Assurance and Assessment and the QAA Quality Code. These regulations must be read alongside the latest iteration of the BTEC Centre Guide to Quality Assurance and Assessment and the Colleges own Assessment, verification and moderation policy

These regulations are reviewed annually and will come into effect at the beginning of an academic year or soon after publication of the latest iteration of the Pearson guides relating to assessment.

3. Responsibilities

The College will ensure that students have access to the Pearson BTEC Higher National; Regulations and ancillary assessment policies and procedures. These include:

- · Academic offences;
- · Extenuating circumstances;
- Complaints;
- Appeals;
- · Intermission;
- Special Examination (Assessment) Arrangements.

Programme Leader: This is the person designated by the College to take overall responsibility for the effective delivery and assessment of a relevant BTEC Higher National programme. The Programme Leader my also act as an assessor and/or internal verifier, with some quality assurance related restrictions. Programme Leaders will, among other things, be expected to prepare for their students guidance on assessment requirements and an assessment schedule. These will be included in the student programme handbook including assessment schedule;

Personal Tutor: This is the person who has responsibility for acting as the Personal Tutor for individual students and small groups of students.

Internal Verifier: This is the person who will:

- Conduct quality checks on assessment processes and practices to ensure that they meet national standards and that all students have been judged fairly and consistently;
- · Provide an expert second opinion;
- Keep up to date with the latest quality assurance documents published by Pearson.

It is good practice for all assessors to be involved in internally verifying each other. An internal verifier cannot internally verify their own assignments or assessment decisions. There can be an internal verifier considered as the Lead IV, but this does not need to be registered with Pearson.

Assessor: This is a person responsible for the assessment of students and acts under the guidance of the Programme Leader, who will direct an Assessor to appropriate training, support and standardisation. An assessor will normally devise assignment briefs, deliver the programme of study and assess the evidence produced by students against the assessment criteria in the programme specification.

Students: Student responsibility includes:

- To understand and comply with the assessment; regulations for the BTEC Higher National courses, ancillary policies and procedures;
- Submit work for assessment as required on assessment deadline dates;
- Submit any relevant information on extenuating circumstances which they believe may have affected their performance in accordance with the extenuating circumstances policy.

4. Intermission

Intermission (a break in study) or permanent withdrawal from the programme may be necessary if circumstances prevent the student from continuing their studies. This must be discussed as soon as possible with the student and the Programme Leader, so that the correct procedures are followed. Refer to the Intermission guidance and form on website HE Policies, Procedures and Downloads | South Essex College

4. Assessment Types

Formative

Formative assessment is an integral part of the BTEC assessment process, involving both the Assessor and the student in a two-way conversation about their progress. It takes place prior to summative assessment and does not confirm achievement of grades, but focuses on helping the student to reflect on their learning and improve their performance. The main function of formative assessment is to provide feedback to enable the student to make improvements to consolidate a Pass, or attain a higher grade. This feedback should be prompt so it has meaning and context for the student and time must be given following the feedback for actions to be complete. Students should be provided with formative feedback during the process of assessment and be empowered to act to improve their performance. Feedback on formative assessment must be constructive and provide clear guidance and actions for improvement.

Following formative assessment and feedback, students are able to:

- Revisit work to add to the original evidence produced to consolidate a Pass grade or to enhance their work to achieve a higher grade
- Submit evidence for summative assessment and final unit grade.

Tutors will keep all records available for auditing purposes, as Pearson may choose to review records of formative assessment as part of our ongoing quality assurance.

Summative Assessment

Summative assessment is the final consideration by an Assessor of a student's assignment, agreeing which assessment criteria the student has met in the assignment and recording those decisions. Tutors must ensure that students are aware that summative assessment is subject to confirmation by the Assessment Board, and thus is provisional and can be overridden by the Assessment Board.

Assessors should annotate on the student work where the evidence supports their grading decisions against the unit grading criteria. It is not expected that students are offered opportunities to revisit assignments at this stage of the assessment process unless approved by the Programme Leader. Students will need to be familiar with the assessment criteria so that they can understand the quality of what is required. They should be informed of the differences between grading criteria so that higher skills can be achieved.

6. Achievement and Award of Credit

Each successfully completed unit will be graded as a Pass, Merit and distinction

A Pass is awarded for the achievement of all outcomes against the specified assessment criteria. Merit and Distinction grades are awarded for higher level achievement.

Grades will be confirmed by the Assessment Board (Exam) Board at mid-year and end of year when students have unit completion.

7. Resubmissions

The table below shows a summary of the rules for resubmission of assessments.

For full guidance, please refer to the BTEC Higher Nationals Centre Guide to Quality Assurance and Assessment 2023-24.

Delete the below table

QCF	RQF
Only one opportunity for resubmission will	One resubmission is allowed if a student
be permitted (new assignment).	does not achieve a pass on first submission
	(same assignment).
Resubmissions must not be capped at	The reassessment opportunity will be
Pass, although if a student who submitted	capped at Pass for that unit.

their work late is offered a resubmission,	
this is capped at pass.	
A student may request or be offered a	A student will not be entitled to be
resubmission if they have not met all of the	reassessed in any component for which a
criteria (Pass, Merit or Distinction) available	Pass or higher has already been awarded.
in an assignment.	

RQF

One resubmission is allowed if a student does not achieve a pass on first submission (same assignment)

The reassessment opportunity will be capped at Pass for that unit.

A student will not be entitled to be reassessed in any component for which a Pass or higher has already been awarded.

8. Repeat Units

The table below shows a summary of the rules repeats of assessments

For full guidance, please refer to the BTEC Higher Nationals Centre Guide to Quality Assurance and Assessment 2023-24.

Delete below table

QCF	RQF
A unit can be repeated if the centre and the	A unit can be repeated if the centre and
Assessment Board decides it is an	the Assessment Board decide it is an
appropriate course of action.	appropriate course of action
The unit must be studied again.	The unit must be studied again.
The unit must be capped at a Pass grade.	The unit must be capped at a Pass grade.
The centre should have a policy that states	The unit can only be repeated once.
the number of times a unit can be	
repeated.	

RQF
A unit can be repeated if the centre and the Assessment Board decide it is an
appropriate course of action
The unit must be studied again.
The unit must be capped at a Pass grade.
The unit can only be repeated once.

9. Late Submission

The development of employability skills is an important part of the course. It is important that students are not advantaged by having additional time to complete assignments.

Where an assessment is submitted after the published deadline and where extenuating circumstances form has not been submitted, this will be treated as a non-submission.

Please refer to extenuating circumstances policy and guidelines for more detail on how to apply for this.

Students will be offered a single reassessment at the next available opportunity. Merit and Distinction criteria will not be included in the reassessment brief. Where an extenuating circumstance has been accepted by the College the grade is not capped unless the task is already "Referred."

10. Failure of a Unit

A student who does not achieve the requirements to pass a unit has failed that unit.

The circumstances in which a unit can be failed are:

- Following first attempt and referral, the student has not made any valid attempt in one or more assessment tasks i.e. non-submission;
- Following referral, the student does not achieve the minimum overall pass criteria in a unit;
- Where failure in a unit is the sanction set by an academic offence.

If a student fails a unit one retake will be permitted. Retakes will be capped at a "Pass." Where a unit is failed due to an academic offence, a student may be given a sanction that means the student is not permitted to retake the unit.

11. Progression

Where a student passes all units for a given academic year, the student will normally progress to the next stage or level.

Where a student has not passed all the units but has had extenuating circumstance accepted at either the first assessment or a reassessment point (deferral), then they will be allowed to progress with a further opportunity at reassessment, at the discretion of the Assessment Board.

Where a student has failed a unit/s then normally they will be provided with the opportunity to re-take the unit/s as if for the first time, and not be allowed to progress until these units have been passed.

12. Assessment Board

Assessment boards will meet twice a year, mid-term and the end of the academic year.

Terms of Reference

Assessment Boards are authorised to:

- Agree unit grades;
- Agree progression of students onto the next stage of the programme;
- Agree the awards to be made to students;
- · Agree any accepted extenuating circumstances;
- Note any academic offences;
- · Identify referrals and deferrals;
- Consider comments of the External Examiner:
- Confirm the nominated team representative who will enter student marks on to Pearson (Edexcel) online.

The membership of the Assessment Board shall be as follows:

- The Chair
- Head of Quality and Compliance
- · Programme Leader;
- Unit Leaders/Internal Verifiers for the unit(s) being considered;
- · External Examiner.

The quorum for a meeting of an Assessment Board shall be four members. Where an External Examiner is unable to attend, the meeting may go ahead in their absence, provided that their comments are sought prior to the meeting and reported to the meeting.

Departments may hold a pre-board to ensure that all the required information is available to the Assessment (Exam) Board. Dates for Assessment Boards shall be scheduled at the end of the academic year as published on the HE Assessment Year Planner.

Order of Discussion

The Chair should clearly identify the courses of action open to the Assessment Board.

The unit assessment outcomes for each student should be conducted as follows:

- The grades for each student should be considered;
- Any amendment to the grades will be agreed and recorded on the grading sheet/grid;
- The overall unit assessment outcomes for the student will be agreed.

Consideration of individual results should be conducted as follows:

- The grades of each student should be considered:
- The consideration of extenuating circumstances should be conducted;
- Any amendment to the grades will be agreed and recorded on the grading sheet/grid;

- The overall unit results for the student will be agreed;
- The decisions of the Assessment Board will be formally recorded in the minutes.

Tutors should take care not to disclose the confidential proceedings of the Assessment Board and should guide individual students on what they now have to do. Programme Leaders will inform students of the Assessment Board outcomes

Disclosure of Results

Only designated staff are authorised to disclose results in accordance with College practice.

13. Extenuating Circumstances

A student may submit a request for consideration of extenuating circumstances in respect of their summative assessment. Refer to the Extenuating Policy and Form on website

14. Academic offences

Refer to the Academic Offences Policy <u>HE Policies, Procedures and Downloads | South Essex</u> College

15. Right of Appeal

There is a single appeal process for students who wish to appeal against an outcome arising from:

Decisions relating to academic offences; Decisions of Assessment Boards

Refer to the Appeal Policy and form on website: HE Policies, Procedures and Downloads | South Essex College

16. Internal Verification

For guidance on internal verification, please refer to relevant section of the BTEC Higher Nationals Centre Guide to Quality Assurance and Assessment 2023-24 and the Colleges policy on Assessment, verification and moderation.

Policies can be access from link below

HE Policies, Procedures and Downloads | South Essex College

The College has central documentation for assessment feedback and internal verification which should be used for all assessments and internal verification activity.